

Weston Solutions, Inc.
East Division
3 Riverside Drive
Andover, Massachusetts 01810
978-552-2100 - Fax 978-658-0700

563083

32

SUPERFUND TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT AND RESPONSE TEAM EPA CONTRACT EP-W-05-042

21 August 2013 20114-081-998-0850-49 DC No. A-6835

Ms. Martha Bosworth
U.S. EPA Region I - New England
Emergency Planning & Response Branch
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100
Mail Code OSRR07-2
Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912



SDMS DocID

584980

Subject:

Case No. 43392; SDG No. A4B59

ChemTech Consulting Group (Chem)

Jard Company Inc Bennington, Vermont

AROCLOR: 18/Soil/A4B59-A4B71, A4B73-A4B76, A4B79

(Field Duplicates A4B59/A4B60)

4/Aqueous Equipment Blanks/A4B12-A4B15

2/Soil PEs/A4B77, A4B78

CERCLIS No. VTD048141741

TDD No. 12-10-0008, Task No. 0850-49

Dear Ms. Bosworth:

A Tier II validation was performed on the organic analytical data for 18 soil samples and four aqueous equipment (rinsate) blanks collected by WESTON START at the Jard Company Inc site in Bennington, Vermont, and for two PE samples obtained from EPA Region I. *Italicized sample ID numbers in the list above are associated with samples in this SDG, but reported in another SDG.* The samples were analyzed under CLP following SOW SOM01.2 as low/medium level for Aroclor compounds. The data were evaluated as Tier II level in accordance with the "Region I EPA-NE Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Environmental Analyses" dated December 1996, and the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods, and were based on the following parameters:

- Overall Evaluation of Data and Potential Usability Issues.
- * Data Completeness.
- Preservation and Technical Holding Times.
- * GC/MS and GC/ECD Instrument Performance Checks.
- IC and CC.
- Blanks.
- * Surrogate Compounds.
- NA IS.
- * MS/MSD.
 - Field Duplicates.
- NA Sensitivity Check (MDL Study or LFB).

Ms. Martha Bosworth 21 August 2013 Page 2

* • PE Samples/Accuracy Check.

* • Target Compound Identification.

* • Sample Quantitation and Reported Quantitation Limits.

NA • TICs.

* • SVOC and PEST/PCB Cleanup.

* • System Performance.

NA • SEDD/ADR.

* = No qualifications will be applied based on this parameter.

Table I summarizes overall evaluation of the data with reference to the DQO and potential usability issues. Qualified data are summarized in Data Summary Table 1.

Overall Evaluation of Data and Potential Usability Issues

See Table I for overall evaluation of data and potential usability issues.

Field Duplicates

The following compounds failed to meet the RPD criteria (<50%) for field duplicate precision in soil field duplicate samples A4B59 and A4B60:

Compound	A4B59 Concentration (μg/kg)	A4B60 Concentration (μg/kg)	RPD	Action Pos/ND
Aroclor-1260	210	390	60%	J Pos

Non-compliant compounds in field duplicate samples A4B59 and A4B60 will be qualified as indicated above.

. PE Samples/Accuracy Check

The criteria used by START for qualification of sample data based on the PE sample results are as follows:

	Action				
PE Score	Non-Detects	Positive Results			
In Window	Accept	Accept			
Warning Low/High	Accept	Accept			
Action Low	Reject (R)	Estimate (J)			
Action High	Accept	Estimate (J)			
TCL Misses	Reject (R)	Varies			

Ms. Martha Bosworth 21 August 2013 Page 3

	Action			
PE Score	Non-Detects	Positive Results		
TCL Contaminants	Accept	Varies		
TIC Misses	Varies	Varies		
TIC Contaminants	Varies	Varies		

All non-compliant PE scores were investigated by checking raw data, calculations, calibrations, possible matrix interferences, and blank contamination. Unless otherwise noted, all results reported by the laboratory were found to be correct, based on the data generated by the laboratory.

The laboratory properly identified and quantified the soil Aroclor-1248 PE sample (A4B77, PE No. AS1430). No qualifications were applied.

The laboratory properly identified and quantified the soil Aroclor-1242 PE sample (A4B78, PE No. ASX0180). No qualifications were applied.

Ms. Martha Bosworth 21 August 2013 Page 4

Please contact the undersigned at (978) 552-2100 if you have any questions or need further information.

Very truly yours,

WESTON SOLUTIONS, INC. Region I START

William W. Mahany Principal Project Scientist

John Burton Lead Chemist

email.cc:

Jennifer Feranda (CLP PO - Region II) - DV Letter w/Data Tables, and ORDA Form only -

Feranda.jennifer@epa.gov

Attachments:

Table I: Overall Evaluation of Soil Data

Data Summary Key Acronym List Data Summary Table 1 DV Worksheets

PE Sample Score Reports (included in DV worksheets)

Field Sampling Notes (including a copy of sampler's COC Records)

CSF Audit (DC-2 Form) - Evidence Audit Photocopy (Including CSF Receipt/Transfer Form)

DQO Summary Form

TABLE I

JARD COMPANY INC Case No. 43392; SDG No. A4B59

Overall Evaluation of Soil Data

AROCLORs								
DQO (list all DQOs)	Sampling and/or	Measurement Error		Sampling				
	Analytical Method Appropriate Yes or No	Analytical Error	Sampling Error*	Variability**	Potential Usability Issues			
1. To obtain sufficient data from surface and subsurface soil samples collected at the Jard Company site for PCB (Aroclor) analysis, to document potential source areas located on and off the property, and to document contamination in the soil and sediment associated with source areas located on the property.	Analytical Method: Yes, SOM01.2 Sampling Method: Yes, Hand Augers, and Stainless Steel Scoops.	Refer to qualifications in attached Data Summary Table 1.	Refer to qualifications in attached Data Summary Table 1.		1. Field Duplicate precision exceeded the soil RPD criteria for samples A4B59 and A4B60. Positive results for Aroclor 1260 were estimated (J).			

^{*} The evaluation of "sampling error" cannot be completely assessed in data validation.

** Sampling variability is not assessed in data validation.

DATA SUMMARY KEY ORGANIC DATA VALIDATION

J	=	The associated numerical value is an estimated quantity.
R	=	The data are unusable (compound may or may not be present). Resampling and reanalysis are necessary for verification. The R replaces the numerical value or SQL.
U	= .	The compound was analyzed for, but not detected. The associated numerical value is the SQL or the adjusted SQL.
UJ	= .	The compound was analyzed for, but not detected. The associated numerical value is the estimated SQL.
EB	=	The compound was identified in an <u>aqueous</u> EB that was used to assess field contamination associated with <u>soil/sediment</u> samples.
ТВ	= .	The compound was identified in an <u>aqueous</u> TB that was used to assess field contamination associated with <u>soil/sediment</u> samples.
BB	=	The compound was identified in an <u>aqueous</u> BB that was used to assess field contamination associated with <u>soil/sediment</u> samples.

ACRONYM LIST ORGANIC DATA VALIDATION

AQ aqueous AQ FB aqueous field blank BBBottle Blank B/N base/neutral compound °C degrees Celsius CC Continuing Calibration CCV Continuing Calibration Verification CLP Contract Laboratory Program COC Chain-of-Custody record COR Contracting Officer Representative CRQL Contract Required Quantitation Limit **CSF** Complete SDG File %D percent difference DAS Delivery of Analytical Services Deuterated Monitoring Compound **DMC** DOO Data Quality Objective DV Data Validation DW drinking water EB Equipment Blank **EPA Environmental Protection Agency** GC/ECD Gas Chromatograph/Electron Capture Detector GC/MS Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometry GW groundwater IC Initial Calibration IS Internal Standard kilogram kg L liter LCS Laboratory Control Sample LFB Laboratory Fortified Blank MDL Method Detection Limit microgram μg MS Matrix Spike **MSD** Matrix Spike Duplicate NA Not Applicable ND non-detected result nanogram ng **NERL** New England Regional Laboratory OSC On-Scene Coordinator ORDA Organic Regional Data Assessment PAH polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon **PCB** polychlorinated biphenyl compound PEST/PCB pesticide/polychlorinated biphenyl compound PΕ Performance Evaluation Pos positive result QC **Quality Control** %R percent recovery **RPD** Relative Percent Difference **RRF** Relative Response Factor Relative Standard Deviation **RSD**

Sample Delivery Group

Statement of Work

SDG SOW SQL Sample Quantitation Limit S/S soil/sediment S/S(m)soil/sediment medium level **START** Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team **SVOC** semivolatile organic compound SW surface water Trip Blank TB TCL Target Compound List **TDD** Technical Direction Document TIC Tentatively Identified Compound Traffic Report TR VOC volatile organic compound WESTON Weston Solutions, Inc.

SITE: JARD COMPANY INC CASE: 43392 SDG: A4B59 LABORATORY: CHEMTECH DATA SUMMARY TABLE 1 AROCLOR IN SOIL ANALYSIS µg/Kg

CONSULTING GROUP

al activities de la	. Tara SAMI	PLENUMBER	A4B59	A4B60	A4B61 ∰	A4B62	A4B63	A4B64	###A4B65
	SAMPL	ELOCATION	P009-SS-11	P009-SS-20	P007-SS-05	P009-SS-03	₩% P011-SS-07	P011-SS-09	- P011-SS-09
	STATIC	N LOCATION	JCS-268	JCS-574	JCS-283	JCS-243	JCS-171	UCS-177	
	LABORATO	ORY NUMBER	E1926-01	E1926-02	E1926-17	E1926-03	E1926-20	E1926-21	E1926-22
COMPOUND	MDL	CRQL4							
					47.11	42 U	40 U	41 U	39 U
Aroclor-1016	2.6	33	61 U	57 U	47 U				39 U
Aroclor-1221	7.8	33	61 U	57 U	47 U	42 U	40 U	41 U	
Aroclor-1232	1.3	33	61 U	57 U	47 U	42 U	40 U	41 U	39 U
Aroclor-1242	6.2	33	61 U	57 U	47 U	42 U	40 U	41 U	39 U
Aroclor-1248	2.7	33	61 U	57 U	47 U	42 U	40 U_	41 U	39 U
Aroclor-1254	3.2	33	• 61 U	57 U	47 U	· 42 U	40 U	41 U	. 39 U_
Aroclor-1260	3.2	33	210 J	390 J	47 U	42 U	40 U	41 U	39 U
Aroclor-1262	14	33	. 61 U	57 U	47 U	42 U_	40 U	41 U	39 U
Aroclor-1268	6.6	33	61 U	57 U	47 U	42 U	40 U	41 U	39 U
oác cea ésident	Colinabretick								
	DILUT	ION FACTOR	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	DA	TE SAMPLED	4/10/2013	4/10/2013	4/11/2013	4/10/2013	4/9/2013	4/9/2013	4/9/2013
	DATE	EXTRACTED	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	4/23/2013
	DAT	E ANALYZED	4/26/2013	4/26/2013	4/26/2013	4/26/2013	4/26/2013	4/26/2013	4/26/2013
	SAMPLE WE	GHT (GRAMS)	30.1	30.1	30	30	30.1	30.1	30
	TELLY	% SOLID		58.0	69.9	78.0	82.2	81.1	83.6

NOTES: μg/Kg = micrograms per Kilogram

All results are reported on a Dry Weight Basis. CRQL = Contract Required Quantitation Limit

MDL = Method Detection Limit U = Value is Non-Detected.

UJ = Value is Non-Detected, and Detection Limit is Estimated.

J = Value is Estimated. R = Value is Rejected.

* = Reported value is from diluted analysis.

SITE: JARD COMPANY INC CASE: 43392 SDG: A4B59 LABORATORY: CHEMTECH

DATA SUMMARY TABLE 1 AROCLOR IN SOIL ANALYSIS µg/Kg

CONSULTING GROUP

	SAMI	PLE NUMBER	- A4B66	A4B67	A4B68	A4B69		A4B71	A4B73
	SAMPL	E LOCATION	P007-SS-01	P007-SS-01	P007-SS-09	P006-SS-04	P006-SS-04	P006-SS-09	P003-SS-01
	STATIO	N LOCATION	JCS-269	UCS-271	JCS-294	JCS-308	₩ JCS-309	₩	JCS-390
	LABORATO	RY NUMBER	E1926-04	E1926-05	E1926-06	E1926-07	E1926-08	E1926-09	E1926-10
COMPOUND	MDL	CRQL							
Aroclor-1016	2.6	33	45 U	44 U	41 U	52 U	46· U	46 U	42 U
Aroclor-1221	7.8	33	45 U	44 U	41 U	52 U	46 U	46 U	42 U
Aroclor-1232	1.3	33	45 U	44 Ų	41 U	52 U	46 U	46 U	42 U
Aroclor-1242	6.2	33	45 U	44 U	41.U	52 U	46 U	46 U	42 U
Aroclor-1248	2.7	33	45 U	44 U	41 U	52 U	46 U	46 U	42 U
Aroclor-1254	3.2	33	45 U	44 U	41 U	52 U	, 46 U	46 U	. 42 U
Aroclor-1260	3.2	33	75 J	44 U	41 U	52 U	46 U	46 U	`42 U
Aroclor-1262	14	33	45 U	44 U	41 U	52 U	46 U	46 U	42 U
Aroclor-1268	6.6	33	45 U	. 44 U	41 U	52 U	46 U	46 U	42 U
	. DILUT	ION FACTOR	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	, DA	TE SAMPLED	4/10/2013	4/10/2013	4/10/2013	4/11/2013	4/11/2013	4/11/2013	4/12/2013
	DATE	EXTRACTED	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	4/23/2013
	# Ş⊵∰ DAT	E ANALYZED	4/26/2013	4/26/2013	4/26/2013	4/26/2013	4/26/2013	4/26/2013	4/26/2013
	SAMPLE WEI	GHT (GRAMS)	30	30	30.1	30.1	30	30	30
		% SOLID	73.2	74.3	81.3	63.6	72.1	71.2	78.1

NOTES: μg/Kg = micrograms per Kilogram

All results are reported on a Dry Weight Basis. CRQL = Contract Required Quantitation Limit

MDL = Method Detection Limit U = Value is Non-Detected.

UJ = Value is Non-Detected, and Detection Limit is Estimated.

J = Value is Estimated. R = Value is Rejected.

* = Reported value is from diluted analysis.

SITE: JARD COMPANY INC CASE: 43392 SDG: A4B59 LABORATORY: CHEMTECH

DATA SUMMARY TABLE 1 AROCLOR IN SOIL ANALYSIS µg/Kg

CONSULTING GROUP

	SAMPLE NUMBER SAMPLE LOCATION		A4B74		A4B76	A4B79	ingardidist	e strictulududinipotebilika	
			P003-SS-02	P004-SS-07	P004-SS-09	P005-SS-04			
	STATIC	ON LOCATION	JCS-393	JCS-379	JCS-384	JCS-340			
	LABORATO	ORY NUMBER	E1926-11	E1926-12	E1926-13	E1926-16			
COMPOUND	MDL	CRQL							
Aroclor-1016	2.6	33	45 U	48 U	50 U	44 U			
Arocior-1221	7.8	33	45 U	48 U	50 U	44 U			
Aroclor-1232	1.3	33	45 U	48 U	50 U	44 U			
Aroclor-1242	6.2	33	45 U	48 U	50 U	44 U			
Aroclor-1248	2.7	33	45 U	48 U	50 U	44 U	·.		
Aroclor-1254	3.2	33	45 U	48·U	50 U	44 U			
Aroclor-1260	3.2	33	45 U	48 U	50 U	44 U			
Aroclor-1262	14	33	45 U	48 U	50 U	44 U			
Aroclor-1268	6.6	33	45 U	48 U	50 U	.44 U			
		Karathalla							
	DILU7	TION FACTOR	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
	DA	TE SAMPLED	4/12/2013	4/11/2013	4/11/2013	4/11/2013			
	DATE	EXTRACTED	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	4/23/2013			
	DATE ANALYZED		4/26/2013	4/26/2013	4/26/2013	4/26/2013			
	SAMPLE WE	IGHT (GRAMS)	30	30.1	30	30			
		% SOLID	73.0	67.9	66.2	74.7			

NOTES: µg/Kg = micrograms per Kilogram

All results are reported on a Dry Weight Basis.

CRQL = Contract Required Quantitation Limit

MDL = Method Detection Limit U = Value is Non-Detected.

U = Value is Non-Detected.

UJ = Value is Non-Detected, and Detection Limit is Estimated.

J = Value is Estimated.

R = Value is Rejected.

* = Reported value is from diluted analysis.